

Ms. Langlie, will you please pass the e-mail on to Mr. Westinghouse.
Thank you,
Tony Bamonte

November 4, 2009

Robert Westinghouse, Chief, Criminal Complaints
U.S. Attorney's Office
700 Stewart Street, Suite 5220
Seattle, WA 98101-1271

Re: The RPS Bond Fraud and Savage Death Case Review

Dear Mr. Westinghouse:

Following your September 5, 2008, press conference in Spokane regarding the Cowles fraud and subsequent first degree manslaughter complaint I originally filed with the Spokane County sheriff and Spokane City police chief, I called your office twice and left messages requesting a confirmation if, in fact, an official grand jury was convened specifically for those two complaints. I am not asking you to disclose anything other than the following simple fact, which I know you can legally release: Was, in fact, an official 16 to 23 person grand jury proceeding ever convened and conducted specifically for either or both of those two complaints or did federal agents just conduct the investigation? The reason I ask is, based on your Spokane press release, the connotation of your words led the public to believe an official grand jury was convened. Also, Spokane County Sheriff Ozzie Knezovich publicly stated on KXLY radio an official grand jury was conducted specifically on my first degree manslaughter complaint.

Mr. Westinghouse, I am in the process of initiating a major action intended to expose dishonorable actions by you and other public officials. Because of that, within this letter to you, I am enclosing the text of a letter I wrote to Breean Beggs CEO of the Center for Justice in Spokane. This letter will convey my thoughts regarding your actions:

E-mailed Sept 13, 2008 to Breean Beggs

I recently viewed the RPS press release by Robert Westinghouse of the U. S. Attorney's office, which I felt was full of deceit and a continuation of organized white collar crime and criminal cover-ups regarding the Cowles illegal activity in Spokane. I have a number of concerns:

- The U.S. Attorney's involvement was exceptionally unethical. At the time Mr. Connor and Ms. Rodgers were encouraged by Mr. McDevitt to file a criminal complaint, he should have advised them of the RCW grand jury statute and the Washington State Patrol's Organized Crime Unit, both specifically mandated to investigate organized crime. He should have then personally forwarded their written complaint to the Washington State Patrol and requesting they investigate their complaint, or he should have initiated a Washington State grand jury – either of which he could have easily accomplished. Both of those actions are mandated remedies for the this type of complaint. Instead, Mr. McDevitt advised them to file a written complaint with him and he would reclude himself and instruct the agency he works for to conduct an investigation.

As the Assistant United States Attorney for the Eastern Washington District, Mr. McDevitt, himself a suspect in the Connor/Rodgers fraud complaint, intentionally but tacitly, positioned the Connor/Rodgers complaint into a compromised position. Mr. McDevitt clearly possessed the behind-the-scenes ability to control the outcome of their complaint. The power, position and influence of Mr. McDevitt clearly was a conspicuous investigative problem in its conflict of interest. Based on the facts of the Connor/Rodgers complaint versus Mr. Westinghouse's discount of specific proven truths, Mr. McDevitt did, in actually, appear to greatly influence the outcome of the Connor/Rodgers complaint.

- Mr. McDevitt was the prime "public official suspect" in the fraud complaint. He was also the highest ranking public official with knowledge of this crime and, consequently as a high-ranking law enforcement official, had an obligation to pursue this fraud, which he didn't because of his involvement. Per Mr. McDevitt's request, a deputy U. S. attorney from the Western District of Washington State then ordered an FBI investigation of the RPS fraud and, consequently, made the decision to exonerate Mr. McDevitt, the U.S. attorney for the eastern district of Washington. They also failed to find evidence of fraudulent actions against Mr. McDevitt's public supporters, the most powerful family in Spokane. This finding was in spite of strong and abundant evidence of fraud and continuing cover-ups falling under the legal definition of organized crime. This was all done secretly, with no public access to the FBI's or A. G.'s investigative work product.

Westinghouse's misleading statements during his press conference about McDevitt's role in illegally withholding from bondholders, the material fact of the loss of the AMC revenue, underscores my impression that the RPS fraud is the fruit of an ongoing organized criminal enterprise that victimizes this community. I believe this criminal enterprise now implicates the U.S. Department of Justice because of the cover-up of Mr. Westinghouse's report. I also believe that this criminal enterprise led directly to Ms. Savage's First Degree Manslaughter death.

Regardless of the geographical area of the suspect or the investigators this was an agency, with a major conflict of interest, investigating itself, Not only did they investigate the conduct of one of their own top officials, but, the prime suspects who own the Spokesman-Review, publicly endorsed and helped Mr. McDevitt obtain his position. The U. S. Attorney investigation appears to have covered up, among other things, the key concern surrounding Mr. McDevitt's River Park Square involvement. Namely, Mr. McDevitt's knowledge that parking revenue from AMC theater patrons would not be available to pay off the garage bonds as bond purchasers were told in the official statement.

- In his press conference, Mr. Westinghouse also alludes to the statute of limitations as being expired as one of the reasons for his findings, which completely ignores the law as it applies to organized crime and the element of continued cover-up.

I have five questions I would appreciate a legal opinion from you:

- 1) Based on what I stated above, was there a conflict of interest involving this investigation?
- 2) Is there a statute of limitations involving ongoing organized crime when continued cover-ups are involved?
- 3) The Cowles openly and publicly, in their newspaper – the only one in Spokane, endorse judges, sheriffs,

prosecutors, mayors etc. Are those types of endorsements, specifically coming from a public media entity with obvious self-interest outside of the public's welfare a form of campaign ad.

4) Under RCW 9A.82.060 Leading organized crime. "(1) A person commits the offense of leading organized crime by: (a) Intentionally organizing, managing, directing, supervising, or financing any three or more persons with the intent to engage in a pattern of criminal profiteering activity;" . . . based on the 800+ pages of investigating reporting by Larry Shook and Tim Connor documenting the Cowleses deliberate stranglehold on our elected officials, do you find any probable cause to believe they are in violation of that RCW or any violation of Chapter 9A.82?

5) Per RCW 43.43.852 the Washington State Patrol has a specific "Organized crime" unit. For the purposes of RCW 43.43.850 through 43.43.864, "organized crime" means those activities which are conducted and carried on by members of an organized, disciplined association, engaged in supplying illegal goods and services and/or engaged in criminal activities in contravention of the laws of this state or of the United States. Because of the ongoing and blatant cover-up surrounding the RPS scandal, and especially this most recent whitewash and conflict of interest by Suspect McDevitt's office, do you think there is probable cause to file a criminal complaint with the Washington State Patrols Organized Crime Unit?

Or, per RCW Chapter 10.27 – Grand Juries – Criminal Investigations, which was enacted "on behalf of the people of Washington for the purpose of combating crime and corruption," and provides: "A grand jury shall be summoned by the court, where the public interest so demands, whenever in its opinion there is sufficient evidence of criminal activity or corruption within the county or whenever so requested by a public attorney, corporation council or city attorney upon showing of good cause." Based on the above do you feel it would be more appropriate to request a grand jury investigation or both.

Breean, thank you for any attention you may give my request and please feel welcome to share this request with Tim Connor,

Sincerely,

Tony Bamonte

Mr. Westinghouse, at this time I strongly suggest you respond to this request and also suggest you contact your legal counsel. If I do not hear from you by November 21, I will assume you again have no intentions of respond to my requests.

Again, my question is: Was, in fact, an official 16 to 23 person grand jury proceeding ever convened and conducted specifically for either or both of those two complaints or did federal agents just conduct the investigation?

Sincerely,

Anthony Bamonte
(509) 838-7114
bamonte@comcast.net

